GREENWOOD HIGH 1816 Cokesbury Road Greenwood, South Carolina 29649 9-12 High School GRADES 1.691 Students ENROLLMENT Shirley L. Boyce 864-941-5600 PRINCIPAL SUPERINTENDENT William P. Steed, Ed.D. 864-941-5400 Ms. Dru James 864-223-1878 BOARD CHAIR THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA ANNUAL SCHOOL 2004 REPORT CARD ABSOLUTE RATING: G00D Absolute Ratings of High Schools with Students like Ours Excellent Average Below Average Unsatisfactory 12 14 0 0 0 IMPROVEMENT RATING: UNSATISFACTORY ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS: Z This school met 19 out of 21 objectives. The objectives included performance and participation of students in various groups and student attendance rate. SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country. FOR MORE INFORMATION, VISIT WEBSITES AT: WWW.MYSCSCHOOLS.COM

WWW.SCEOC.ORG

PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Average	Unsatisfactory	N/A
2002	Good	Excellent	N/A
2003	Excellent	Average	No
2004	Good	Unsatisfactory	No

DEFINITIONS OF DISTRICT RATING TERMS

- Excellent District performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Good District performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Average District performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average District is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory District performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

HIGH SCHOOL ASSESSMENT PROGRAM (HSAP) EXAM PASSAGE RATE: SECOND YEAR STUDENTS

		Our School	I	High Schools with Students Like Ours			
Percent	2004	2005	2006	2004	2005	2006	
Passed 2 subtests	77.6	N/A	N/A	78.4	N/A	N/A	
Passed 1 subtest	12.0	N/A	N/A	11.0	N/A	N/A	
Passed no subtests	10.4	N/A	N/A	10.6	N/A	N/A	

EXIT EXAM PASSAGE RATE BY SPRING 2004

	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Percent	95.2%	95.7%

ELIGIBILITY FOR LIFE SCHOLARSHIP		
Percent of	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Seniors eligible for LIFE Scholarships at four-year institutions*	18.4	17.0
Seniors who met the SAT/ACT requirement	18.6	17.5
Seniors who met the grade point average	61.3	55.8

^{*}Using only the SAT/ACT and grade point average requirements

GRADUATION RATE

	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours		
Number of Students	407	263		
Number of Diplomas	318	207		
Rate	78.1%	79.5%		

PERFORMANCE BY STUDENT GROUPS									
	Exit Exam Passage Rate by Spring 2004			Eligibility for LIFE Scholarship		Graduation Rate			
	n	%	n	%	n	%	Met State Objective		
All Students	374	95.2	354	18.4	407	78.1	YES		
Gender									
Male	170	94.1	168	19.0	196	75.0	N/A		
Female	200	96.0	186	17.7	211	81.0	N/A		
Racial/Ethnic Group									
White	212	98.1	204	27.0	212	89.6	N/A		
African-American	137	91.2	136	0.7	175	65.1			
Asian/Pacific Islander	9	100.0	9	88.9	9	100.0	N/A		
Hispanic	8	87.5	5	20.0	10	50.0	N/A		
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	0	N/A	1	I/S	N/A		
Racial/Ethnic Group									
Non disabled	353	96.9	326	19.9	368	83.2	N/A		
Disabilities other than speech	21	66.7	28	0.0	39	30.8	N/A		
Migrant Status									
Migrant	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	N/A		
Non-migrant	347	96.3	354	18.4	N/A	N/A	N/A		
English Proficiency									
Limited English Proficient	5	100.0	0	N/A	7	57.1	N/A		
Non-Limited English Proficient	344	96.2	354	18.4	399	78.7	N/A		
Socio-Economic Status									
Subsidized meals	73	89.0	96	1.0	117	65.8	N/A		
Full-pay meals	274	98.2	258	24.8	290	83.1	N/A		

HSAP PERFORMANCE		_	щ,			щ,			Η,
	Enrollment 1st	ر اچ	% Below Basis	ş /	% Proficient	% Advanced	% Proficient and Advanced	Performance Objective	Participation Oct.
] jej	" resting % Tested	N B	% Basic	, l	anc la	jej j		ji ji ji
		1 / %	/ %	/ %	1 %	/ \$		ecti	; / ig
	<u> </u>	7	/ %	/	/ %	/ %	%\\\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\	/ [©] S	12.9
Engl	/ ish/Langua				/ Objective	/			
All Students	439	98.6	15.4	25.5	30.0	29.1	65.7	YES	YES
Gender									
Male	211	98.1	20.0	28.0	29.5	22.5	60.0	N/A	N/A
Female	228	99.1	11.2	23.3	30.5	35.0	70.9	N/A	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group									
White	245	98.8	4.2	17.3	37.6	40.9	83.1	YES	YE:
African-American	179	98.3	27.9	38.4	20.9	12.8	43.0	YES	YE
Asian/Pacific Islander	4	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	1/:
Hispanic	11	100.0	63.6	9.1	18.2	9.1	36.4	I/S	1/:
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	1/:
Disability Status									
Not Disabled	389	98.7	9.6	25.3	32.5	32.5	71.5	N/A	N/
Disabled	50	98.0	60.4	27.1	10.4	2.1	20.8	NO	YE
Migrant Status									
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/
Non-Migrant	439	98.6	15.4	25.5	30.0	29.1	65.7	N/A	N/
English Proficiency									
Limited English Proficient	8	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	1/:
Non-Limited English Proficient	431	98.6	14.2	26.0	30.1	29.6	66.5	N/A	N/
Socio-Economic Status			,						
Subsidized meals	144	97.9	37.8	36.3	17.8	8.1	35.6	YES	YE
Full-pay meals	295	99.0	4.9	20.5	35.8	38.9	79.9	N/A	N/
	Mathemati	cs - State	Performa	nce Obje	ctive = 30	.0%			
All Students	439	97.7	16.4	26.2	31.0	26.4	66.4	YES	YE
Gender									
Male	211	96.7	19.2	23.7	28.8	28.3	65.2	N/A	N/
Female	228	98.7	14.0	28.4	32.9	24.8	67.6	N/A	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group									
White	245	98.0	5.1	21.2	36.4	37.3	82.6	YES	YE
African-American	179	97.2	30.6	34.7	23.5	11.2	44.7	YES	YE
Asian/Pacific Islander	4	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	1/3
Hispanic	11	100.0	45.5	9.1	36.4	9.1	45.5	I/S	1/3
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	1/3
Disability Status									
Not Disabled	389	98.2	11.0	25.9	33.7	29.4	73.3	N/A	N/
Disabled	50	94.0	60.9	28.3	8.7	2.2	10.9	NO	YE
Migrant Status									
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/
Non-Migrant	439	97.7	16.4	26.2	31.0	26.4	66.4	N/A	N/
English Proficiency									
imited English Proficient	8	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	1/3
Non-Limited English Proficient	431	97.7	15.8	26.7	30.6	26.9	66.7	N/A	N/
Socio-Economic Status									
Subsidized meals	144	95.8	36.8	36.1	19.5	7.5	40.6	YES	YE
Full-pay meals	295	98.6	7.0	21.6	36.2	35.2	78.4	N/A	N/A

Abbreviations for Missing Data

N/A Not Applicable N/AV Not Available N/C Not Collected N/R Not Reported I/S Insufficient Sample

DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specifies that the statewide target is met for All Students and for the following subgroups: Racial/Ethnic, Subsidized Meals, Disability, and Limited English Proficiency.

	Our School	Change from Last Year	High Schools with Students Like Ours	Median High School
tudents (n= 1,691)				
etention rate	5.2%	Up from 4.3%	7.2%	9.1%
ttendance rate	96.2%	Up from 95.8%	96.2%	96.0%
ligible for gifted and talented	10.2%	Down from 10.6%	13.0%	5.8%
/ith disabilities other than speech	12.2%	Up from 12.1%	13.6%	12.7%
lder than usual for grade	9.3%	Up from 9.0%	7.4%	9.8%
ut-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent &/or criminal offenses	0.1%	Down from 2.5%	2.5%	1.6%
nrolled in AP/IB programs	37.8%	Up from 23.5%	16.1%	10.2%
uccessful on AP/IB exams	50.5%		53.4%	53.8%
nnual dropout rate	3.8%	Up from 3.0%	2.5%	2.7%
areer/technology students in co-curricular organizations	0.0%	No change	1.3%	3.6%
nrollment in career/technology center courses	755	Up from 649	615	466
tudents participating in worked-based experiences	12.5%	Down from 17.6%	26.0%	25.7%
areer/technology students mastering core competencies	86.7%	Up from 82.4%	77.7%	77.7%
areer/technology completers placed	100.0%	No change	100.0%	99.3%
eachers (n= 95)				
eachers with advanced degrees	37.9%	Down from 39.8%	57.3%	52.0%
ontinuing contract teachers	88.4%	Up from 84.7%	83.0%	82.1%
ighly qualified teachers**	91.5%	N/A	89.7%	89.5%
eachers with emergency or provisional certificates	8.9%		7.3%	8.6%
eachers returning from previous year eacher attendance rate	90.2% 94.9%	Up from 89.1% Up from 94.7%	88.6% 95.7%	86.2% 95.3%
verage teacher salary	\$41,347	Up 3.0%	\$41,554	\$41,060
rof. development days/teacher	9.3 days	Down from 10.6 days	10.7 days	10.6 days
chool				
rincipal's years at school	4.0	Up from 3.0	3.0	3.0
tudent-teacher ratio in core subjects	25.4 to 1	Up from 24.7 to 1	28.8 to 1	26.4 to 1
rime instructional time	89.9%	Up from 89.4%	91.0%	90.0%
ollars spent per pupil*	\$5,483	Down 4.9%	\$6,075	\$6,310
ercent of expenditures for teacher salaries*	59.9%	Down from 60.0%	58.1%	57.9%
pportunities in the arts	Excellent	No change	Excellent	Excellent
arents attending conferences	74.4%	Down from 76.0%	88.3%	89.3%
ACS accreditation	Yes	No change	Yes	Yes
haracter development program	Below Average	N/A	Good	Good
Prior year audited financial data are reported.		Our District	St	ate
ighly qualified teachers in low poverty	echoole**	92.3%		.0%
ighly qualified teachers in low poverty				
ighly qualified teachers in high povert	,	N/A State Objective	Met State	1% Objective
ighly qualified teachers in this school*	*	65.0%	Y	es
tudent attendance in this school		95.3%	Y	es
NOTE: The verification process was not completed	for the year rep	orted; therefore the count of hig	hly qualified teachers r	nay not be accura

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

The 2003-2004 school year provided the students and staff at Greenwood High School with numerous opportunities to demonstrate our talents and skills. For the second consecutive year, we received the Palmetto Gold Award for high levels of academic achievement and high rates of student academic improvement. With this recognition came a monetary incentive that was used to replace aging computers in the Media Center. All students will benefit by having this new equipment available for their use.

We congratulate our students and faculty for their efforts in these areas. The following represent a sample of the diverse achievements attained by our students and staff during the school year.

The graduating Class of 2004 accumulated over \$3,580,000 in scholarship offers. Four students were recognized as Kiwanis Star Students. These students were selected for having one of the five top twelfth grade student SAT scores in Greenwood County.

The Golden Eagle Marching Band competed in five competitions last Fall and placed eighth in the 2003 4A State Finals Marching Competition. Twelve students were selected for Region Band with two students being selected for All-State Band, and two additional students being selected as alternates for All-State Band.

The GHS Drama students placed first in the SC Theatre Association Play Competition and SC Thespian Play Competition, and represented our state in the Southeastern Theatre Conference Competition.

One thousand, one hundred eighty-nine students participated in club and extra-curricular activities at the school.

We are proud of the successes we have experienced, and are challenged to increase opportunities for success for all students and staff. We appreciate the parental and community support we have received and wish to thank the people who have served on the PTSO Executive Board and School Improvement Council.

Shirley L. Boyce

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS								
	Teachers	Students*	Parents*					
Number of surveys returned	97	238	29					
Percent satisfied with learning environment	65.6%	61.3%	55.2%					
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	70.8%	71.1%	48.3%					
Percent satisfied with home-school relations	49.0%	76.7%	46.4%					
*Only eleventh grade students and their parents were included. For schools with	out grade 11, only	the highest grade	was included.					